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Core Research Areas
Conceptual Organization into Core Research Areas

• Three main core areas of expertise in the ECE department:
  ➡ Computing Systems area
  ➡ Sensors and Devices area
  ➡ Signals and Systems area

• Venn diagram organization of courses, sub-areas

• Gives a quick view of the department, curriculum and research

  ★ Important for students, advisors, and external visitors
Computing Systems

Systems Programming
- ECE538 (OOP Apps)
- ECE501 (Digital Design)
- ECE 532 (Embedded Systems)
- *ECE503 (Adv Eng Prob)
- *ECE509 (Lin Sys)
- *ECE536 (Microprocessor Apps.)
- ECE538 (Comp Des)
- ECE636 (Adv Comp Arch)

Signals and Systems Area...
- *ECE501 (Digital Design)
- ECE 532 (Embedded Systems)
- *ECE503 (Adv Eng Prob)
- *ECE509 (Lin Sys)
- *ECE536 (Microprocessor Apps.)

VLSI
- ECE581 (Intro to Nanoelec)
- ECE682 (Nano-fab Lab)
- *ECE506 (Microel Dev)
- ECE573 (EO Dev and Sys)
- ECE676 (Quant Elec)

Computer Architecture
- *ECE533 (Comp Des)
- ECE636 (Adv Comp Arch)
- ECE573 (EO Dev and Sys)
- ECE676 (Quant Elec)

Preliminary Exam Courses
- ECE501
- ECE503
- ECE531
- ECE533
- ECE536

Monday, August 26, 13
Sensors and Devices

**Optics**
- ECE574 (Guided Wave Opt)
- ECE577 (EO Systems Lab)
- ECE674 (Integrated Opt)

**EM Fields**
- ECE510 (MW Eng & Sys)
- ECE511 (Antennas)
- ECE518 (EM Fields II)

* ECE507 (EM Fields)

**Micro and Nano Devices**
- ECE581 (Intro to Nanoelec)
- ECE682 (Nano-fab Lab)
- ECE515 (Mats in green energy)

* ECE506 (Microel Dev) [PB]
* ECE573 (EO Dev and Sys) [RA]
* ECE676 (Quant Elec) [AS]
* ECE583 (Photovoltaics) [EG, PB]

* ECE572 (Lin Sys & FO)
* ECE509 (Lin Sys)
* ECE561 (Sig Proc)
* ECE563 (Imag Proc)

(Signals and Systems Area...)

**Preliminary Exam Courses**
- ECE506
- ECE507
- ECE509
- ECE561
- ECE572
- ECE581

Monday, August 26, 13
Signals and Systems

Signal Processing
- ECE563 (Image Proc)
- ECE662 (Adaptive SP)

Detection/Estimation
- ECE601 (Random Proc)

Control and Automation
- ECE545 (Auto Cont)
- ECE547 (NL Cont)
- ECE642 (Optimal Cont)
- ECE645 (Adap Cont)

Comm Theory
- ECE521 (Digital Comm I)
- ECE522 (Digital Comm II)
- ECE523 (Satellite Comm)

*ECE503 (Adv Eng Prob)
*ECE509 (Lin Sys)
*ECE561 (Sig Proc)
ECE564 (Comp Vision)
ECE603 (Detect and Est)
ECE661 (Statistical SP)
ECE663 (Stat Patt Rec)

Preliminary Exam Courses
ECE503
ECE509
ECE521
ECE547
ECE561
Graduate Curriculum Course Credit Requirements
30 Course Credits Requirements

• **Nine** semester hours from an approved concentration area such as Computing Systems, Sensors and Devices, Signals and Systems, or any other interdisciplinary area approved by the advisor/Chair (excludes ECE 695-699).

• At least **six** semester hours of approved graduate mathematics courses.

• At least **three** semester hours of Graduate Seminar (ECE 696).

• The remaining **twelve** credit hours can be any combination of advanced graded course work, Guided Research Leading to Conference Publication (ECE 697), and Guided Research Leading to Journal Publication (ECE 698).
ECE PhD Milestones at a Glance

- Form committee
- Plan of study


- Candidacy Exam

9 concentration area
6 math
3 grad seminar (ECE696)

12 combining ECE695, ECE697, ECE698, other advanced coursework

30 course credits
at most 12 dissertation credits
at least 6 dissertation credits
at least 12 dissertation credits

30 course credits, 30 dissertation credits

Monday, August 26, 13
PhD Candidacy Exam
ECE PhD Milestones at a Glance

- Form committee
- Plan of study


12 course credits
18 course credits
at most 12 dissertation credits
at least 6 dissertation credits
at least 12 dissertation credits

30 course credits, 30 dissertation credits

Monday, August 26, 13
Before the Preliminary Exam (PE)

- Form a dissertation committee
  - 3 ECE faculty chosen before preliminary exam
  - 1 external adviser chosen before dissertation proposal defense
- Plan of study
  - approved by dissertation committee
- Courses
  - at least 12 ECE graduate credits hours at UD
- Forms: [http://www.udayton.edu/engineering/about/grad_resources.php](http://www.udayton.edu/engineering/about/grad_resources.php)
Preliminary Exam (PE)

• Purpose
  ➡ determine baseline background

• PE format
  ➡ written exam, 5 hour period
  ➡ questions drawn from 4 preliminary exam classes (PEC)
  ➡ GPC assigns appropriate faculty to prepare questions

• Logistics
  ➡ requirements:
    ★ at least 12 credits of graduate coursework at UD
    ★ at least 12 credits of PECs
  ➡ only once per semester
  ➡ 2 chances to pass the PE => dismissed if 2nd attempt failed

• May be waived if overall GPA ≥ 3.5 in at least 4 PECs
UNIVERSITY OF DAYTON
ELECTRICAL AND COMPUTER ENGINEERING
PRELIMINARY EXAM APPLICATION

TO: The Chair of ECE Graduate Program Committee

The Preliminary Examination (PE) is a diagnostic test to assess the background of the student based on questions drawn from four Preliminary Exam Courses (PEC). Before the PE is taken, students must have earned at least twelve ECE graduate credit hours beyond the MS degree and completed at least four PECs. The PE is a requirement for every student who does not apply for a waiver (see below).

________________________________  ________________
Student’s Name      Date

List 1: List twelve ECE credits of graduate courses the student completed at UD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University of Dayton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University of Dayton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University of Dayton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University of Dayton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

List 2: List four PECs (or equivalent courses at another university) that the student completed. The approved PECs are: ECE 501, 503, 506, 507, 509, 531, 533, 536, 547, 561, 572, and 581. They may include classes taken during MS, and they may overlap with List 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preliminary Exam: Student applies to take the Preliminary Exam in the ☐ all ☐ Winter semester. Questions for PE will be drawn from List 2.

Waiver: The student may apply for PE requirement waiver if the combined GPA of four PECs taken at the University of Dayton is greater than or equal to 3.5. Students who have taken one or more PECs at another institution may also apply for a PE waiver. The waiver will be granted if the student has a UD GPA (List 1) greater or equal to 3.5, and the combined GPA of four PECs (taken at UD or elsewhere) is 3.5 or above.

☐ Student applies for PE requirement waiver. GPA of List 1 is _____. GPA of List 2 is ______. (Attach appropriate documentation, such as class syllabi for classes not taken at UD.)

________________________________  _____________
Chairperson, Dissertation Advisory Committee    Date

For official use only.

Chairperson, Graduate Program Committee Date

Preliminary Exam is ☐ Passed ☐ Failed ☐ Waived

Released 2-Oct-12.
Qualifying Exam (QE)

• First part of the Candidacy Exam
• Purpose
  ➡ assess ability to carry out independent, unsupervised research leading to a well thought written report
• QE format
  ➡ a written and oral exam
  ➡ a research question formulated by the candidacy committee
  ➡ written: the student is given approximately a month to work on the problem and submit a written report
  ➡ oral: a brief presentation on the research problem
  ➡ outcomes: (requires unanimous vote) pass, conditional pass, fail
    ★ conditional pass: committee recommends actions student must fulfill
• Logistics
  ➡ requirements: no more than 12 dissertation credits before completing QE
  ➡ 2 chances to pass the QE => dismissed if 2nd attempt failed
Dissertation Proposal Defense (DPD)

• Second part of the Candidacy Exam
• Purpose
  ➡ assess qualification for a meaningful and publishable dissertation
  ➡ defend a research idea before a critical audience
• DPD format
  ➡ a written and oral defense
  ➡ written: a written proposal
  ➡ oral: presentation of preliminary doctoral level research work
  ➡ outcomes: (requires unanimous vote) accept, conditional accept, reject
    ★ conditional accept: committee recommends actions student must fulfill
• Logistics
  ➡ requirements:
    ★ all courses completed
    ★ at least 6 dissertation credits before DPD
    ★ at least 12 dissertation credits before DD
Dissertation Defense (DD)

• Purpose
  ➡ assess the quality of research work and dissertation
  ➡ defend a research idea before a critical audience

• DD format
  ➡ a written and oral defense
  ➡ written: a written dissertation
  ➡ oral: presentation of doctoral research work
  ➡ outcomes: (requires unanimous vote) accept, conditional accept, reject
    ★ conditional accept: committee recommends actions student must fulfill

• Logistics
  ➡ requirements:
    ★ at least one journal submission \textit{(above and beyond any submissions from ECE697, ECE698)}
    ★ all dissertation credits are taken
Some Important Forms
University of Dayton
School of Engineering
Doctoral Advisory Committee Appointment Form

To: All Prospective Committee Members

Before the end of the first enrolled semester, the student, in consultation with the department chair or program director, selects a major professor to serve as the chair of the doctoral advisory committee. The chair of the doctoral advisory committee will be a member of the graduate faculty. An advisory committee consisting of the chair and at least two other graduate faculty members from the programs of the School of Engineering will then be recommended for approval to the department chair or program director and to the associate dean of engineering. Appointment of one additional member of the committee from outside the student's program (i.e., other university faculty, adjunct professors, prominent researchers in industry or government) is required. One additional graduate faculty member may be appointed by the associate dean of engineering. The composition of the committee will generally reflect the student's area of study and research interest. The duties of the doctoral advisory committee include advising the student, assisting the student in preparing the program of study, administering and reporting the candidacy examination, assisting in planning and conducting research, approving the dissertation, and conducting and reporting the results of the dissertation defense. A dissertation advisor other than the chair of the doctoral advisory committee may be appointed by the doctoral advisory committee.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student's Name</th>
<th>Major Field of Study</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Chairperson, Graduate Faculty Member of a School of Engineering Program</td>
<td>Dept/Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Committee Member, Graduate Faculty Member of a School of Engineering Program</td>
<td>Dept/Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Committee Member, Graduate Faculty Member of a School of Engineering Program</td>
<td>Dept/Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Committee Member, Outside the Student’s Program</td>
<td>Dept/Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Optional Member</td>
<td>Dept/Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Optional Member</td>
<td>Dept/Program</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above named student has been admitted to the Doctoral Program. The Doctoral Advisory Committee is hereby recommended.

APPROVALS:

Department Chairperson/Program Director

Associate Dean, Graduate Studies

Dean, Graduate School

Copy: Graduate Engineering, Committee Chairperson, Department/Program, Office of Graduate Studies, Student
UNIVERSITY OF DAYTON  
SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING  
CANDIDACY EXAMINATION REPORT

TO: Advisory Committee

The student must pass all parts of the examination to be admitted to candidacy. The student is considered to have passed only when the decision of the doctoral advisory committee is unanimous. All members must sign the examination report form with an indication of their decision noted prior to its being submitted to the Assistant Dean of Graduate Engineering Programs and Research. If any part of the examination is unsatisfactory, the student will be notified in writing of the conditions for another examination. (Excerpted from the current graduate bulletin).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student's Name</th>
<th>Major Field of Study</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**PART 1**  (Written and Oral Examination)

- Chairperson, Advisory Committee: Pass  Fail
- Committee Member 1: Pass  Fail
- Committee Member 2: Pass  Fail
- Committee Member 3: Pass  Fail

**PART 2**  (Oral Exam on the Dissertation Proposal)

- Chairperson, Advisory Committee: Pass  Fail
- Committee Member 1: Pass  Fail
- Committee Member 2: Pass  Fail
- Committee Member 3: Pass  Fail

Indication of decision is by signature and encircling Pass or Fail:

Unless the committee decision is unanimous for pass, the student is to be notified by the Assistant Dean of the following conditions for another exam:

This form is to be independently completed for Part 1 and Part 2 of the candidacy exam except in cases where the individual parts are administered on the same day and the decision is the same for both parts.

After both parts of the candidacy exam have been successfully passed, the Assistant Dean will notify the student of his or her admission to candidacy.

Report received: Date: 

Associate Dean, Graduate Studies, Engineering

Revised 2.16.11
### UNIVERSITY OF DAYTON
### SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING
### DISSERTATION DEFENSE REPORT

To: Advisory Committee

The candidate shall defend the doctoral dissertation in a public forum to demonstrate to the committee that all the preparation for which the doctoral degree is awarded has been met. The defense is open to all members of the University of Dayton faculty, student body, and interested outside parties. The members of the doctoral advisory committee, with the advisor acting as chair, will conduct this dissertation defense. For the defense to be satisfactory, the advisory committee members must agree that the dissertation defense has been successfully completed. If the candidate’s defense is deemed unsatisfactory by only one member, the case will be referred to the Associate Dean of the Graduate Engineering Program and Research for appropriate action. (Excerpted from the current graduate bulletin).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student’s Name</th>
<th>Major Field of Study</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

*Indication of decision is by signature and encircling Pass or Fail*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chairperson, Advisory Committee</th>
<th>Pass</th>
<th>Fail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee Member</th>
<th>Pass</th>
<th>Fail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee Member</th>
<th>Pass</th>
<th>Fail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee Member</th>
<th>Pass</th>
<th>Fail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above signatures represent the final decision of the Advisory Committee and indicate its approval for awarding the doctoral degree if the decision is to pass. The Associate Dean’s office will notify the Graduate School of this decision.

*Please return this signed report to the Dean’s Office.*

Report received: ____________________________

Date: ____________________________

Associate Dean, Graduate Studies, Engineering

Revised 2.16.11
Academic Dishonesty
The Honor Pledge

I understand that as a student of the University of Dayton, I am a member of our academic and social community, I recognize the importance of my education and the value of experiencing life in such an integrated community, I believe that the value of my education and degree is critically dependent upon the academic integrity of the University community, and so, in order to maintain our academic integrity, I pledge to:

★ Complete all assignments and examinations according to the guidelines provided to me by my instructors,

★ Avoid plagiarism and any other form of misrepresenting someone else's work as my own,

★ Adhere to the Standards of Conduct as outlined in the Academic Honor Code.

In doing this, I hold myself and my community to a higher standard of excellence, and set an example for my peers to follow. Instructors shall make known, within the course syllabus, the expectations for completing assignments and examinations at the beginning of each semester. Instructors shall discuss these expectations with students in a manner appropriate for each course.

Source: http://catalog.udayton.edu/generalinformation/academicinformation/graduate/academicdishonesty/
Academic Dishonesty Procedures

- When a student commits academic dishonesty, the maximum penalty is an F in the class, with no possibility to change to W
  - The maximum penalty of a second violation is expulsion from the university
- When a violation occurs...
  - The instructor meets with the student, then notifies the ECE department and the Dean’s office
  - The student is required to sign the incident report as well
- The student may appeal by following the corresponding Appeal Procedure
  - The incident report of academic dishonesty is placed in the student’s permanent records unless the student appeals
  - The first stage of appeal involves the the instructor and the ECE department head. Further appeals will involve the Dean's office and the Graduate School.
Academic Dishonesty Incident Report Form

UNIVERSITY OF DAYTON

Academic Dishonesty
Incident Report

Student Name

Date of Incident

Dept.  No.  Section  Course Title

Category of the Incident:

☐ Cheating on Exam or Other Assignment
☐ Committing Plagiarism or Using False Citations
☐ Submitting Work for Multiple Purposes
☐ Submitting False Data or Deceptive Information
☐ Falsifying Academic Documentation or Grade Alteration
☐ Abuse of Library Privileges or Shared Electronic Media
☐ Encouragement or Tolerance of Academic Dishonesty
☐ Other

School or College of Student Involved

☐ CAS
☐ SBA
☐ SOEAP
☐ SOE

Description of Incident:

Was a penalty assessed?  ☐ Yes  ☐ No